

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,187	05/04/2001	Ramesh Nagarajan	13-10	9273
7590 09/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Docket Administrator (Room 3C-512)			WILSON, ROBERT W	
Lucent Technologies Inc. 600 Mountain Avenue P.O. Box 636 Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- AC				
Z;		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/849,187	NAGARAJAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert W Wilson	2661				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address				
A SHO THE N - Exten- after S - If the   - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 I</u>	May 2001.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
2	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
· —	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		cation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
	application from the International Burea	· ·					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eived.				
		•					
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	il Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1.0 The application or Ramesh Nagarajan et. al. entitled "Traffic grooming method for undersea trunk and branch architecture with priority based upon 60/202,580 dated 5/9/2000. Claims 1-18 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2.0 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.0 Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai (U.S.,

Patent No.: 4,899,337).

Referring to Claim 1, Hirai teaches: A node for grooming low capacity client signals into a high capacity signal (12-17 per Fig 3 or node. 12-17 per Fig 3 groom the signals 21 into 24 or high capacity signal per Fig 3)

A interface to a high capacity trunk for coupling to a type one node (The applicant broadly claims "a type one node". The examiner interprets 23 per Figure 1 which interface to a type one node per Fig 3)

An interface to a high capacity trunk for coupling to a type two node (The applicant broadly claims a "type two node". The examiner interprets 24 per Fig 2 as a interface to a type two node)

Wherein only a portion of those low capacity client signals destined for the type one signals destined for the type one node are groomed into the high capacity trunk to the type two node (When the sum of the signals 21 per Fig 3 are greater than the capacity that can be sent on 23 per Fig 3 the CALL CONTROL UNIT 17 per Fig 3 sets up the trunk connected to 24 per Fig 3 wherein only a portion of the signals from 21 are send over 24 per Fig 3 per col. 3 lines 24-col 4 lines 30 or per col. 2 lines 11-67)

Hirai does not expressly call for: wherein only a portion of those low capacity client signals destined to a type one node are groomed into the high capacity trunk to the type two node but

Application/Control Number: 09/849,187

Art Unit: 2661

teaches that the low capacity signals 21 are sent over 23 to a type one node until the sum of the 21 is greater than the capacity of 23 at which time the CALL CONTROL UNIT send the excess or a portion of the low capacity signals over 24 or high capacity trunk per Fig 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the low capacity signals 21 are sent over 23 to a type one node until the sum of the 21 is greater than the capacity of 23 at which time the CALL CONTROL UNIT sends the excess or a portion of the low capacity signals over 24 or high capacity trunk per Fig 3 and performs the same function as wherein only a portion of those low capacity client signals destined to a type one node are groomed into the high capacity trunk to the type two node.

### In Addition Hirai teaches:

Regarding Claim 2, wherein the groomed portion is zero (The reference teaches that when the sum or 21 per Fig 3 is less than or equal to the capacity of 23 per Fig 3 then 24 carries no traffic or the groomed portion is zero)

Regarding Claim 3, where the type two node is a high traffic node (The applicant broadly claims "type two node is a high traffic node". The examiner interprets 24 per Fig 3 as a high traffic node because excess traffic of high traffic is being sent to 24 per Fig 3)

Regarding Claim 4, wherein the type one node is a cable station and the type two node is a central office (The examiner takes official notice that a cable station and a central office is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sent the traffic from 23 to a cable station and 24 to a central office in order to provide a path diversity)

Regarding Claim 5, wherein the low capacity client signals are E1 (PDH (plesiochoronous digital hierarchy)) type signals and the high capacity signal is a synchronous transport mode (STM-1) signal (The reference teaches statistically multiplexing low speed signals into a high speed trunk lines per Fig 3. The examiner takes official notice that statistically multiplexing E1 into STM-1 signals is well known in the art per Fig 1 as well as per col. 1 line 50-col. 2 line 2 or U.S. Patent No.: 6,269,081. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to statistically multiplex the E1 into STM-1 because they are low speed signals into high speed signals)

Referring to Claim 6, Hirai teaches: Apparatus for performing selective grooming of client signals (12-17 per Fig 3 or apparatus)

A node coupled (a) directly to a first node via a high capacity trunk (The applicant broadly claims "a first node". The examiner interprets 23 per Fig 3 is coupled to "a first node".) and

(b) to a second node via a high capacity trunk such that only a portion of the client signals destined for the first node are groomed into the high capacity trunk to the second node (The

Application/Control Number: 09/849,187

Art Unit: 2661

applicant broadly claims "a second node". The examiner interprets 24 per Fig 3 is connected to the second node via a high capacity trunk such that when the sum of the low capacity signals 21 per Fig 3 are greater than the capacity of 23 then the CALL CONTROL UNIT sends a portion of 21 per Fig 3 or client signals over 24 per Fig 3)

Hirari does not expressly call for: only a portion of the client signals destined for the first node are groomed into the high capacity trunk to the second node but teaches 24 per Fig 3 is connected to the second node via a high capacity trunk such that when the sum of the low capacity signals 21 per Fig 3 are greater than the capacity of 23 then the CALL CONTROL UNIT sends a portion of 21 per Fig 3 or client signals over 24 per Fig 3

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that 24 per Fig 3 is connected to the second node via a high capacity trunk such that when the sum of the low capacity signals 21 per Fig 3 are greater than the capacity of 23 then the CALL CONTROL UNIT sends a portion of 21 per Fig 3 or client signals over 24 per Fig 3 performs the same function as only a portion of the client signals destined for the first node are groomed into the high capacity trunk to the second node.

#### In Addition Hirai teaches:

Regarding Claim 7, wherein the groomed portion is zero (The reference teaches that when the sum or 21 per Fig 3 is less than or equal to the capacity of 23 per Fig 3 then 24 carries no traffic or the groomed portion is zero)

Regarding Claim 8, wherein the first node is a low traffic node and the second node is a high traffic node (The applicant broadly claims "first node is a low traffic node and the second node is type is a high traffic node". The examiner interprets 24 per Fig 3 as a high traffic node because excess traffic of high traffic is being sent to 24 per Fig 3 and 23 is a low traffic node because the regular traffic is send to 23 per Fig 3)

Regarding Claim 9, wherein the first node is a cable station and a second node is a central office (The examiner takes official notice that a cable station and a central office is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to send the traffic from 23 to a cable station and 24 to a central office in order to provide a path diversity)

Regarding Claim 10, wherein the low capacity client signals are E1 (PDH (plesiochoronous digital hierarchy)) type signals and the high capacity signal is a synchronous transport mode (STM-1) signal (The reference teaches statistically multiplexing low speed signals into a high speed trunk lines per Fig 3. The examiner takes official notice that statistically multiplexing E1 into STM-1 signals is well known in the art per Fig 1 as well as per col. 1 line 50-col. 2 line 2 or U.S. Patent No.: 6,269,081. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to statistically multiplex the E1 into STM-1 because they are low speed signals into high speed signals)

Application/Control Number: 09/849,187

Art Unit: 2661

Referring to Claim 11, Hirari teaches: A method for use in performing selective (12-17 per Fig 3 Selectively groom the signals 21 into 24 per Fig 3), the method comprising the steps of:

Determining an aggregate amount of traffic between two landing sites (The STORE MONITORING UNIT 16 per Fig 3 determines if the DATA BUFFER is overflowing or determining the aggregate amount of traffic between the second site where the data is being sent)

If the determining aggregate amount of traffic is greater than a predetermined portion of a high capacity trunk, provision a trunk for directly connecting the two landing sites (If the aggregate amount is greater than 23 can carry then 24 is added by CALL CONTROL UNIT 17 per Fig 3)

Hirari does not expressly call for: a second site but teaches the multiplexer for trunking per Fig 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a second site would be present in order to received the data in order for the invention to work.

#### In Addition:

Regarding Claim 12, wherein the land sites are cable station of an undersea cable network (The examiner takes official notice that cable station are well known in the art per Japanese Patent Publication Number 10-041887 wherein the reference teaches that cable station are utilized in a low traffic environment per Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the system per Fig 3 in US Patent No.: 4,899,377 to aggregate low traffic)

Regarding Claim 13, wherein the low capacity client signals are E1 (PDH (plesiochoronous digital hierarchy)) type signals and the high capacity signal is a synchronous transport mode (STM-1) signal (The reference teaches statistically multiplexing low speed signals into a high speed trunk lines per Fig 3. The examiner takes official notice that statistically multiplexing E1 into STM-1 signals is well known in the art per Fig 1 as well as per col. 1 line 50-col. 2 line 2 or U.S. Patent No.: 6,269,081. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to statistically multiplex the E1 into STM-1 because they are low speed signals into high speed signals)

Referring to Claim 14, Hirari teaches: A method for use in node (12-17 per Fig 3 or method for use in node) the method comprising the steps of:

Receiving low capacity client signals (21 per Fig 3 or low capacity signals)

Selectively grooming a portion of the received low capacity client signals into a high capacity trunk for transmission to a first type of node (The applicant broadly claims a "a first type of node". The examiner interprets 12-17 groomed the low capacity client signals from 21 into 23 per Fig 3 or first type of node)

Art Unit: 2661

Transmitting other of the low capacity client signals over another high capacity trunk directly coupled to a second type of node (The applicant broadly claims "a second type of node". The examiner interprets CALL CONTROL UNT determines when the sum of 21 is greater than the capacity of 23 at which time the CALL CONTROL UNIT sets up 24 per Fig 3 or high capacity trunk directly coupled to 24 or second type of node)

Hirari does not expressly call for: a first node or second node but teaches 23 and 24 per Fig 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that 23 performs the same function as a type one node and 24 performs the same function as a type two node.

#### In Addition Hirai teaches:

Regarding Claim 16, wherein the groomed portion is zero (The reference teaches that when the sum or 21 per Fig 3 is less than or equal to the capacity of 23 per Fig 3 then 24 carries no traffic or the groomed portion is zero)

Regarding Claim 17, wherein the first node is a cable station and a second node is a central office (The examiner takes official notice that a cable station and a central office is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to send the traffic from 23 to a cable station and 24 to a central office in order to provide a path diversity)

Regarding Claim 18, wherein the first node is a low traffic node and the second node is a high traffic node (The applicant broadly claims "first node is a low traffic node and the second node is type is a high traffic node". The examiner interprets 24 per Fig 3 as a high traffic node because excess traffic of high traffic is being sent to 24 per Fig 3 and 23 is a low traffic node because the regular traffic is send to 23 per Fig 3)

### Conclusion

4.0 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571/272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

Robert W Wilson

Examiner Art Unit 2661

RWW September 10, 2004